Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

your name: Milena Lewis

assignment reviewed: Catnip and Cancer

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the "General Feedback" section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content:	The news article	The news article	The news article does
Does the news	introduces a	introduces a	not illustrate the
article convey the	biological topic and	biological topic and	writers understanding
writer's	clearly illustrates the	presents information	of the topic and does
understanding of a	writer's	about it, but the topic	not indicate what is
biological topic?	understanding of the	is not explained	known vs. what is not
	topic including what	clearly or doesn't	known or how
	is known and not	distinguish between	understanding the
	known about it and	what is known vs.	biology associated
	how understanding	what is not known or	with the topic helps
	the biology	doesn't explain how	us understand larger
	associated with the	understanding the	issues or concepts.
	topic helps us	biology associated	
	understand larger	with the topic helps	
	issues or concepts.	us understand larger	
		issues or concepts.	
Audience:	The news article	The news article	The news article
Is the writing	avoids jargon and	defines or explains	lacks definitions and
appropriate for the	clearly defines terms	some terms, but some	explanations, making
target audience?	and ideas for a non-	key terms or ideas	the topic inaccessible
	expert audience.	would be challenging	to a non-expert
		for a non-expert	audience.
		audience.	
Organization:	The news article is	The news article is	The news article is
Is the news article	well organized and	generally organized	disorganized, and the
clearly organized?	easy to follow with	and easy to follow	

good transitions	but conceptual	information presented
between the	connections aren't	doesn't flow well.
paragraphs.	<mark>always clear.</mark>	

Rubric continues on next page

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Format, spelling & grammar: Does the news article follow the recommended format and is it free of writing errors?	The news article follows guidelines for paper length and format and has been carefully proofread for spelling and grammatical mistakes.	The news article is outside the recommended length or does not conform to the formatting guidelines; the news article contains a small number of spelling and/or grammatical errors.	The news article is significantly outside the recommended length and does not conform to the formatting guidelines; the news article contains numerous spelling and/or grammatical
Citations: Are the citations presented appropriately?	The news article contains appropriate in-text citations and a list of references for all source material.	The news article is missing either appropriate in-text citations or a list of references.	errors. The news article is missing appropriate in-text citations and a list of references OR citations are missing for one or more sources.
Rationale for choosing topic: Did the writer indicate why they chose the topic?	The rationale for choosing the topic is clearly explained.		No rationale for the topic's choice is provided.

General feedback (5 points): Overall I liked how this article explored the uses of a very simple substance and made a good argument for changing the perspective around catnip. I also really liked how thoroughly background information was explained before even mentioning the research. However, in order to keep the background information a bit more clear I think some of the more unnecessary bits could be left out or shortened such as what catnip looks like undried or what family its part of or what other plants have terpenes etc. These parts can be a little distracting from the important information just because there is so much of them, and the reader doesn't know what information is necessary to remember to understand the main point. Another thing that would be helpful is better distinguishing between what enzyme you are referring to. I found myself getting a little lost when you were talking about the enzyme studied vs the enzymes actually in the Madagascar

periwinkle. It would be helpful to refer to one as the catnip enzyme or something to keep things straight. Also, you are missing in text citations

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement): Good