Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

Your name: Leilani Soriano

Assignment reviewed: #19 – Nicole Chin

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the "General Feedback" section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content:	The news article introduces a	The news article introduces a	The news article does not
Does the news article convey	biological topic and clearly	biological topic and presents	illustrate the writers
the writer's understanding of	illustrates the writer's	information about it, but the	understanding of the topic and
a biological topic?	understanding of the topic	topic is not explained clearly	does not indicate what is
	including what is known and	or doesn't distinguish between	known vs. what is not known
	not known about it and how	what is known vs. what is not	or how understanding the
	understanding the biology	known or doesn't explain how	biology associated with the
	associated with the topic helps	understanding the biology	topic helps us understand
	us understand larger issues or	associated with the topic helps	larger issues or concepts.
	concepts.	us understand larger issues or	
		concepts.	
Audience:	The news article avoids jargon	The news article defines or	The news article lacks
Is the writing appropriate	and clearly defines terms and	explains some terms, but some	definitions and explanations,
for the target audience?	ideas for a non-expert	key terms or ideas would be	making the topic inaccessible
	audience.	challenging for a non-expert	to a non-expert audience.
		audience.	
Organization:	The news article is well	The news article is generally	The news article is
Is the news article clearly	organized and easy to follow	organized and easy to follow	disorganized, and the
organized?	with good transitions between	but conceptual connections	information presented doesn't
	the paragraphs.	aren't always clear.	flow well.

Rubric continues on next page

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Format, spelling & grammar: Does the news article follow the recommended format and is it free of writing errors?	The news article follows guidelines for paper length and format and has been carefully proofread for spelling and grammatical mistakes.	The news article is outside the recommended length or does not conform to the formatting guidelines; the news article contains a small number of spelling and/or grammatical errors.	The news article is significantly outside the recommended length and does not conform to the formatting guidelines; the news article contains numerous spelling and/or grammatical errors.
Citations: Are the citations presented appropriately?	The news article contains appropriate in-text citations and a list of references for all source material.	The news article is missing either appropriate in-text citations or a list of references.	The news article is missing appropriate in-text citations and a list of references OR citations are missing for one or more sources.
Rationale for choosing topic: Did the writer indicate why they chose the topic?	The rationale for choosing the topic is clearly explained.		No rationale for the topic's choice is provided.

General feedback (5 points):

Hi Nicole! I enjoyed learning about skin shedders and your interest in forensic sciences! I wish you the best.

Here is my general feedback:

You got an overall assessment of good because I think there are some improvements that you could make to your paper. First, I noticed a few grammar and spelling errors, for example: "fifthteen" should be "fifteen." Also, in the second paragraph where the sentence starts with "Currently," the comma after "categories" should be a colon instead of a comma because you are listing "heavy, intermediate, and light." Second, in that same paragraph there is a direct quote. Consider putting this quote into your own words. In the instructions, Dr. Davis asks not to include direct quotes and instead put them into your own words to demonstrate understanding of your topic. Third, I think the explanations could be more specific and more clear. The methodology is a bit confusing to me. I do not understand what "deposition consistency" means, and what does it mean by counting cells? Does the Diamond Dye show flakes of skin? I don't understand what is being shed. I think the findings could also be more clear. The percentages and numbers given are a bit confusing.

*Also, here name is "Tamara" not "Tamera"

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement): Good.