

Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

your name: Lillian Belzer

assignment reviewed: 48

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the “General Feedback” section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content: Does the news article convey the writer’s understanding of a biological topic?	The news article introduces a biological topic and clearly illustrates the writer’s understanding of the topic including what is known and not known about it and how understanding the biology associated with the topic helps us understand larger issues or concepts.	The news article introduces a biological topic and presents information about it, but the topic is not explained clearly or doesn’t distinguish between what is known vs. what is not known or doesn’t explain how understanding the biology associated with the topic helps us understand larger issues or concepts.	The news article does not illustrate the writers understanding of the topic and does not indicate what is known vs. what is not known or how understanding the biology associated with the topic helps us understand larger issues or concepts.
Audience: Is the writing appropriate for the target audience?	The news article avoids jargon and clearly defines terms and ideas for a non-expert audience.	The news article defines or explains some terms, but some key terms or ideas would be challenging for a non-expert audience.	The news article lacks definitions and explanations, making the topic inaccessible to a non-expert audience.
Organization: Is the news article clearly organized?	The news article is well organized and easy to follow with good transitions between the paragraphs.	The news article is generally organized and easy to follow but conceptual connections aren’t always clear.	The news article is disorganized, and the information presented doesn’t flow well.

Rubric continues on next page

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Format, spelling & grammar: Does the news article follow the recommended format and is it free of writing errors?	The news article follows guidelines for paper length and format and has been carefully proofread for spelling and grammatical mistakes.	The news article is outside the recommended length or does not conform to the formatting guidelines; the news article contains a small number of spelling and/or grammatical errors.	The news article is significantly outside the recommended length and does not conform to the formatting guidelines; the news article contains numerous spelling and/or grammatical errors.
Citations: Are the citations presented appropriately?	The news article contains appropriate in-text citations and a list of references for all source material.	The news article is missing either appropriate in-text citations or a list of references.	The news article is missing appropriate in-text citations and a list of references OR citations are missing for one or more sources.
Rationale for choosing topic: Did the writer indicate why they chose the topic?	The rationale for choosing the topic is clearly explained.		No rationale for the topic's choice is provided.

General feedback (5 points):

This article explains your concept in a clear way that does avoid scientific jargon, thus making it very easy to read. For example, the sentence, “it was observed that several of the genetically female medaka fish (having XX chromosomes) had genetically male characteristics...” provides a biological definition of female. In this case, “having XX chromosomes.” These details are important when writing an article like this as the audience might be unfamiliar with simple biological concepts. Similarly, it would benefit your paper to include a bit more detail about certain concepts provided. For example, in the previous sentence you could expand on what specific male characteristics are being shown. It is clear that this topic interests you, and it would also be beneficial to provide more examples or evidence that prove your point that medaka fish are able to undergo a sex reversal. In terms of the structure of the work, it seems like if you removed the unnecessary spaces between the paragraphs, the article would not reach the recommended length, so be careful about that. Similarly, the article needs 2 references and one primary source, so make sure to include those in your Work Cited as well as adding in text citations to your piece. Overall, the idea is very interesting and you do a great job at explaining most of the concepts! Thank you for sharing.

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement):

Like I mentioned above, your paper is good right now! I would say it is a great first draft and your well on your way to a concise and clear final paper! Make sure to reach the two pages as well as fixing up your work cited.