Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

your name: Kuankuan Hu

assignment reviewed: Charlene Basque

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the "General Feedback" section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content:	The news article introduces a	The news article introduces a	The news article does not
Does the news article convey	biological topic and clearly	biological topic and presents	illustrate the writers
the writer's understanding of	illustrates the writer's	information about it, but the	understanding of the topic and
a biological topic?	understanding of the topic	topic is not explained clearly	does not indicate what is
	including what is known and	or doesn't distinguish between	known vs. what is not known
	not known about it and how	what is known vs. what is not	or how understanding the
	understanding the biology	known or doesn't explain how	biology associated with the
	associated with the topic helps	understanding the biology	topic helps us understand
	us understand larger issues or	associated with the topic helps	larger issues or concepts.
	concepts.	us understand larger issues or	
		concepts.	
		The topic of the article sounds	
		very interesting, while the	
		article can expand more on	
		biological concepts associated	
		with the topic. For example,	
		what are the necessary	
		conditions for a planet to host	
		life, and how is signs of life	
		distinguished. There could be	
		multiple unanswered questions	
		in this field, but perhaps one of	

		the potential research trajectories can be suggested.	
Audience:	The news article avoids jargon	The news article defines or	The news article lacks
Is the writing appropriate	and clearly defines terms and	explains some terms, but some	definitions and explanations,
for the target audience?	ideas for a non-expert	key terms or ideas would be	making the topic inaccessible
	audience.	challenging for a non-expert	to a non-expert audience.
		audience.	
Organization:	The news article is well	The news article is generally	The news article is
Is the news article clearly	organized and easy to follow	organized and easy to follow	disorganized, and the
organized?	with good transitions between	but conceptual connections	information presented doesn't
	the paragraphs.	aren't always clear.	flow well.
		The information provided in	
		each paragraph is clear and	
		related to the theme. It would	
		be better if the main statement	
		of the article is clearer so that	
		the paragraphs can be	
		organized more logically.	

Rubric continues on next page

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Format, spelling &	The news article follows	The news article is outside the	The news article is
grammar:	guidelines for paper length and	recommended length or does	significantly outside the
Does the news article follow	format and has been carefully	not conform to the formatting	recommended length and does
the recommended format	proofread for spelling and	guidelines; the news article	not conform to the formatting
and is it free of writing	grammatical mistakes.	contains a small number of	guidelines; the news article
errors?		spelling and/or grammatical	contains numerous spelling
		errors.	and/or grammatical errors.
Citations:	The news article contains	The news article is missing	The news article is missing
Are the citations presented	appropriate in-text citations	either appropriate in-text	appropriate in-text citations
appropriately?	and a list of references for all	citations or a list of references.	and a list of references OR
	source material.		

		In-text citations and references are included, but please make sure to use the required style (APA) and avoid direct citation.	citations are missing for one or more sources.
Rationale for choosing topic: Did the writer indicate why they chose the topic?	The rationale for choosing the topic is clearly explained.		No rationale for the topic's choice is provided.

General feedback (5 points):

The contents of the article are interesting and appealing to the audiences. Astrobiology subject's potential influences on real life are explained.

For improving the article, the central biological finding can be elucidated more in depth. The processes of inquiry surrounding a specific biology question, and what is answered/unanswered about this question can be discussed.

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement): Good!