Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

your name: Lilith Weinlick assignment reviewed: 73

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the "General Feedback" section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content:	The news article introduces a	The news article introduces a	The news article does not
Does the news article convey	biological topic and clearly	biological topic and presents	illustrate the writers
the writer's understanding of a	illustrates the writer's	information about it, but the	understanding of the topic and
biological topic?	understanding of the topic	topic is not explained clearly or	does not indicate what is known
	including what is known and not	doesn't distinguish between what	vs. what is not known or how
	known about it and how	is known vs. what is not known	understanding the biology
	understanding the biology	or doesn't explain how	associated with the topic helps
	associated with the topic helps us	understanding the biology	us understand larger issues or
	understand larger issues or	associated with the topic helps us	concepts.
	concepts.	understand larger issues or	
		concepts.	
Audience:	The news article avoids jargon	The news article defines or	The news article lacks
Is the writing appropriate for	and clearly defines terms and	explains some terms, but some	definitions and explanations,
the target audience?	ideas for a non-expert audience.	key terms or ideas would be	making the topic inaccessible to
		challenging for a non-expert	a non-expert audience.
		audience.	_
Organization:	The news article is well	The news article is generally	The news article is disorganized,
Is the news article clearly	organized and easy to follow	organized and easy to follow but	and the information presented
organized?	with good transitions between	conceptual connections aren't	doesn't flow well.
	the paragraphs.	always clear.	

Rubric continues on next page

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Format, spelling & grammar:	The news article follows		The news article is significantly
Does the news article follow	guidelines for paper length and	recommended length or does not	outside the recommended length
the recommended format and	format and has been carefully	conform to the formatting	and does not conform to the
is it free of writing errors?	proofread for spelling and	guidelines; the news article	formatting guidelines; the news
	grammatical mistakes.	contains a small number of	article contains numerous
		spelling and/or grammatical	spelling and/or grammatical
· ·		errors.	errors.
Citations:	The news article contains	The news article is missing	The news article is missing
Are the citations presented	appropriate in-text citations and	either appropriate in-text	appropriate in-text citations and
appropriately?	a list of references for all source	citations or a list of references.	a list of references OR citations
	material.		are missing for one or more
			sources.
Rationale for choosing topic:	The rationale for choosing the		No rationale for the topic's
Did the writer indicate why	topic is clearly explained.		choice is provided.
they chose the topic?			•

General feedback (5 points):

Overall the assignment was very well written and flowed nicely. The opening paragraph immediately got my attention, the hook was exceptional and a great introduction to the prompt. Every piece of information used within the article was well organized and it seemed like you were very knowledgeable of the topic. The conclusion nicely wrapped everything up and explained why this is important on a large scale. The reason for choosing the topic was heartwarming and reflected the depth in which you researched the topic. My only critique is that some of the scientific jargon could be better explained or defined just so it appears more simple to readers. I would also check the grammar structure for some of the sentences as a few seemed like they had comma misuse.

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement): excellent