## Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

your name: Stella Yang

assignment reviewed: "Pattanayak" (79)

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the "General Feedback" section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content:	The news article	The news article	The news article does
Does the news article	introduces a	introduces a	not illustrate the
convey the writer's	biological topic and	biological topic and	writers understanding
understanding of a	clearly illustrates the	presents information	of the topic and does
biological topic?	writer's	about it, but the topic	not indicate what is
	understanding of the	is not explained	known vs. what is not
	topic including what	clearly or doesn't	known or how
	is known and not	distinguish between	understanding the
	known about it and	what is known vs.	biology associated
	how understanding	what is not known or	with the topic helps
	the biology	doesn't explain how	us understand larger
	associated with the	understanding the	issues or concepts.
	topic helps us	biology associated	
	understand larger	with the topic helps	
	issues or concepts.	us understand larger	
		issues or concepts.	
Audience:	The news article	The news article	The news article
Is the writing	avoids jargon and	defines or explains	lacks definitions and
appropriate for the	clearly defines terms	some terms, but	explanations, making
target audience?	and ideas for a non-	some key terms or	the topic inaccessible
	expert audience.	ideas would be	to a non-expert
		challenging for a	audience.
		non-expert audience.	
Organization:	The news article is	The news article is	The news article is
Is the news article	well organized and	generally organized	disorganized, and the
clearly organized?	easy to follow with	and easy to follow	information
	good transitions	but conceptual	presented doesn't
	between the	connections aren't	flow well.
	paragraphs.	always clear.	

## Rubric continues on next page

Excellent	Good	<b>Needs Improvement</b>

	I		
Format, spelling &	The news article	The news article is	The news article is
grammar:	follows guidelines	outside the	significantly outside
Does the news article	for paper length and	recommended length	the recommended
follow the	format and has been	or does not conform	length and does not
recommended	carefully proofread	to the formatting	conform to the
format and is it free	for spelling and	guidelines; the news	formatting
of writing errors?	grammatical	article contains a	guidelines; the news
or writing 0110180	mistakes.	small number of	article contains
	inistance.	spelling and/or	numerous spelling
		grammatical errors.	and/or grammatical
		grammatical cirois.	errors.
Citations:	The news article	The news article is	The news article is
Are the citations	contains appropriate	missing either	missing appropriate
presented	in-text citations and a	appropriate in-text	in-text citations and a
appropriately?	list of references for	citations or a list of	list of references OR
	all source material.	references.	citations are missing
			for one or more
			sources.
Rationale for	The rationale for		No rationale for the
choosing topic:	choosing the topic is		topic's choice is
Did the writer	clearly explained.		-
	clearly explained.		provided.
indicate why they			
chose the topic?			

## **General feedback (5 points):**

A header would have been nice. But the reference pictures are very nicely captioned!

For in text citations, you should include the date of publication along with the last name, which you've already included (Merek's spelling is also inconsistent between the in-text citation in paragraph two and your "Works Cited" list—is it Marek or Merek?). The second and third citations are to journals/articles and shouldn't have a link. Also, the link you provided for the New York Times article doesn't work.

The podcast you sited should probably be sited as a website with the following format: Webpage name. Online. Webpage author. Available: webpage address. Updated date [accessed date].

The first paragraph is a good attention grabber, but it doesn't really communicate the contents of your article/the study (the study is a little deeper than just the discovery of an especially long millepede). Maybe just elaborate they've discovered a "new genus" of millepede.

Your explanation of their method for collecting data was very easy to understand and thorough.

Maybe elaborate on what "fixed" means in your third paragraph. Also in third paragraph,

I don't think you need to include "particularly" when you write "particularly combined."

Nice that you included a conclusion explaining this discovery's relevancy!

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement): Excellent/Good 😊