Scientific Communication Writing Assignment Rubric – Peer Evaluation

your name: Leila Byerly assignment reviewed: # 93

Using the rubric below, please evaluate each of your assigned news articles in each of the areas shown, filling out a separate evaluation form for each news article. Please highlight the part of the rubric text that explains why you chose a specific assessment category. In the "General Feedback" section at the bottom of this form, please include more specific feedback, including things that you liked as well as things that you feel could be improved upon and suggestions on how to improve them.

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Content:	The news article introduces a	The news article introduces a	The news article does not
Does the news article convey	biological topic and clearly	biological topic and presents	illustrate the writers
the writer's understanding of	illustrates the writer's	information about it, but the	understanding of the topic and
a biological topic?	understanding of the topic	topic is not explained clearly	does not indicate what is
	including what is known and	or doesn't distinguish between	known vs. what is not known
	not known about it and how	what is known vs. what is not	or how understanding the
	understanding the biology	known or doesn't explain how	biology associated with the
	associated with the topic helps	understanding the biology	topic helps us understand
	us understand larger issues or	associated with the topic helps	larger issues or concepts.
	concepts.	us understand larger issues or	
		concepts.	
Audience:	The news article avoids jargon	The news article defines or	The news article lacks
Is the writing appropriate	and clearly defines terms and	explains some terms, but some	definitions and explanations,
for the target audience?	ideas for a non-expert	key terms or ideas would be	making the topic inaccessible
	audience.	challenging for a non-expert	to a non-expert audience.
		audience.	
Organization:	The news article is well	The news article is generally	The news article is
Is the news article clearly	organized and easy to follow	organized and easy to follow	disorganized, and the
organized?	with good transitions between	but conceptual connections	information presented doesn't
	the paragraphs.	aren't always clear.	flow well.

Rubric continues on next page

	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement
Format, spelling &	The news article follows	The news article is outside the	The news article is
grammar:	guidelines for paper length and	recommended length or does	significantly outside the
Does the news article follow	format and has been carefully	not conform to the formatting	recommended length and does
the recommended format	proofread for spelling and	guidelines; the news article	not conform to the formatting
and is it free of writing	grammatical mistakes.	contains a small number of	guidelines; the news article
errors?		spelling and/or grammatical	contains numerous spelling
		errors.	and/or grammatical errors.
Citations:	The news article contains	The news article is missing	The news article is missing
Are the citations presented	appropriate in-text citations	either appropriate in-text	appropriate in-text citations
appropriately?	and a list of references for all	citations or a list of references.	and a list of references OR
	source material.		citations are missing for one or
			more sources.
Rationale for choosing topic:	The rationale for choosing the		No rationale for the topic's
Did the writer indicate why	topic is clearly explained.		choice is provided.
they chose the topic?			-

General feedback (5 points):

Looks good overall. Flow could be improved by combining some paragraphs that are focused on the same idea (1&2 and 3&4). It also doesn't have any in-text citations for the list of references. The main study is mentioned directly, so that is probably enough, but the others included in the reference list should either be cited in-text (using parenthetical references) or removed from the list. Depending on their level prior understanding, some parts of this might be challenging for a non-expert reader to understand. Things like enzymatic inhibition and gene expression could perhaps be further explained. In addition, while an overall connection to broader topics could certainly be extrapolated or intuited it could be more explicitly/clearly stated. In, general though the findings of the study were clearly explained, and the rest of the guidelines were followed.

Overall assessment (excellent, good, needs improvement): Good