

### Case Vignette Final Presentation Rubric

	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	<u>Marginal Satisfactory</u>	<u>Satisfactory</u>
<b>Components of the assignment (22 points)</b>	The presenters addressed only a couple components of the assignment. However, responses to the questions were unclear and were indicative of a lack of/ limited understanding. <i>8 points</i>	The presenters addressed 2-3 of the components of the assignment but failed include a possible intervention or if included they was a lack of clarity regarding the various intervention or its applicability to the client. <i>15 points</i>	The presenters examined all the components of the assignment. An understanding and familiarity with the vignette in general, the ecobiodevelopmental framework, and various interventions were demonstrated. There, however, were some inconsistencies in some areas that slightly impacted the overall presentation and client assessment. <i>22 points</i>
<b>Oral Presentation (8 points)</b>	Only two group members actively participated in the presentation in a professional manner, and they did not adhere to the time limit. <i>2 points</i>	Only three group members actively participated in the presentation in a professional manner and partially adhered to the time limit. <i>5 points</i>	Only three group members actively participated in the presentation in a professional manner and partially adhered to the time limit. <i>8 points</i>
<b>Presentation uploaded to Moodle on or before the due date (1 point)</b>	Presentation was uploaded to Moodle after the due date without prior approval. <i>0 points</i>	Presentation was uploaded to Moodle after the extension due date without prior approval. <i>0.5 points</i>	Presentation was uploaded to Moodle on or before the due date. <i>1 point</i>
<b>PowerPoint Presentation (2 points)</b>	Presentation was not well developed, did not have headings/subheadings aligned with the components of the assignment, and was very text heavy. <i>0 points</i>	Presentation was partially developed with inconsistent use of headings / subheadings in relation to components of the assignment. Some slides, however, were very text heavy. <i>1 point</i>	Presentation was well developed with use of headings / subheadings that are aligned with the components of the assignment, and not text heavy. <i>2 points</i>
<b>APA formatting and mechanics (2 points)</b>	PowerPoint was not formatted to meet APA 7th edition guidelines. Writing lacks clarity and is riddled with grammatical and typographical errors. <i>0 points</i>	PowerPoint was partially formatted to meet APA 7th edition guidelines. Writing is clear and cogent but contained between 3-5 grammatical and typographical errors. <i>1 point</i>	PowerPoint was formatted to meet APA 7th edition guidelines, with no more than 2 errors. Writing is clear and cogent and included two or less grammatical and typographical errors. <i>2 points</i>